



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/807,225	04/09/2001	Masayuki Inoue	33475	2717
116	7590	05/20/2005	EXAMINER	
PEARNE & GORDON LLP 1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			GRIER, LAURA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2644	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005	11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/807,225	INOUE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Laura A. Grier	2644	

**All Participants:**

(1) Laura A. Grier. (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Michael Garvey. (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 May 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney Garvey was contacted to approve a supplemental examiner's amendment to overcome improper claim dependency as indicated and/or requested from PUBS. However, the examiner was informed by Attorney Garvey that the applicant was not planning to pay the issue fee and the case would eventually go abandoned, and an examiner's amendment was not necessary. Thus, the requested corrections of the case are deemed unnecessary and have not been treated..